# Perspective Exploration

## Lesson Focus: How does the quality of evidence impact the accuracy of a judgement?

Learning Objectives	Vocabulary	Links with Approaches to Learning (ATL)
<ul> <li>Analyze the strength of evidence presented</li> <li>Draw an evidence-based conclusion</li> </ul>	analyze evidence conclusion justify stance perspective interpretation certainty doubt	Thinking Skills
Preparation Tasks	Differentiation	
Share the Kialo discussion <u>Is the defendant guilty beyond a reasonable doubt?</u> with students and ask them to familiarize themselves with it before the lesson.  Note for teachers: This case is based on the famous movie, 12 Angry Men. Do not disclose this to your students so the discussion remains organic.	Support: Create cards showing key events for students to order into a timeline.  Extend: Challenge students to create a plausible second timeline that fits some of the evidence but points to a different second suspect.	

#### Introduction

- Use the Background Info to introduce/recap the scenario and questions to establish that students have picked up on the key details of the case:
  - o What is the crime committed? (Murder)
  - What is the suspect's relationship to the victim? (Son)
  - o What is the punishment if the defendant is found guilty? (Death, likely by electric chair)
- Divide the students into small groups (ideally 3–5 students per group).
- Share a clone of the discussion with each group using Small Group Mode, and allow them time to review the evidence in the discussion.
  - Students can use Voting to indicate their opinion on the strength of each piece of evidence. This will support them with the main activities.

## Main Activity: Part 1

- Each group should use the Kialo discussion to complete a timeline, putting forward the most likely sequence of events on the day of the murder.
  - Ask groups to compare their timelines.



# Perspective Exploration

- What are the differences and why?
- Each group should decide whether they think the defendant is guilty or not guilty.
  - o There should be at least one group representing each side. If not, nominate a group to take an undefended stance.
  - Each group should nominate a spokesperson for the main activity.

### Main Activity: Part 2

- Students will participate in a debate to decide whether the defendant is guilty beyond a reasonable doubt.
- Give groups time to prepare a justification for their stance, based on the evidence in the Kialo discussion.
  - Ask the spokesperson for each group to present their group's justification.
- Once each group has presented, open the debate for questions and challenges.
  - All responses should center around the information provided in the Kialo discussion and students' interpretation of this.
  - Encourage students to be open to adjusting their stance in light of different perspectives.
- Discussion points may include:
  - The eyewitness testimonies
  - The defendant's personal history
  - The physical evidence
  - o Racial bias in the justice system
- Emphasize to students that there are no right or wrong answers, but all claims should be supported by evidence.

#### Reflection Activity

- Use this to discuss the factors that might affect how we interpret evidence e.g., biases, personal experiences, emotions, cultural backgrounds, peers.
  - What implications does this have for real-life jury trials?
  - Can we ever be certain that a jury has delivered an accurate verdict?
  - o What does "beyond a reasonable doubt" mean?

