Knowledge and Technology: Al, Knowledge, and Accountability

Lesson 2: Fact-Finding Task

Focus: Who is responsible for real-world AI failures?

Objectives	Critically analyze real-world Al failures. Identify biases, evaluate evidence, and refine arguments about Al accountability.
Homework Preparation Tasks	 Case Study Task Discussion Prompt: Who is responsible for real-world Al failures? Divide students into small groups and assign each group a case study related to the topic. Suggestions are listed below. Students will add their evidence to the Kialo discussion from Lesson 1.
	 Each group will: Reflect on how these cases connect to the concepts discussed in Lesson 1. Explore their assigned case using the provided resources (articles, videos, or curated primary sources). Prepare a short presentation (5–10 minutes).
	Case Study Options 1. Tesla's Autopilot Failure Focus: The real-world consequences of Al-assisted driving and the blurred line between human control and Al autonomy. Task: Examine to what extent Tesla users, the company, and the Al system itself are responsible for accidents involving Autopilot.
	 Resources: Tesla's Autopilot and Full Self-Driving linked to hundreds of crashes, dozens of deaths The Verge Tesla CEO Elon Musk responds to Texas crash in investigation into two deaths
	 2. Al Leadership Focus: The responsibilities of Al leaders in anticipating the impact of advanced Al systems, such as AGI. Task: Evaluate the ethical responsibilities of Al CEOs and developers in preparing for possible future failures of high-stakes Al systems. Resource: How OpenAl's Sam Altman Is Thinking About AGI and Superintelligence in 2025 TIME
	 3. User Responsibility Focus: Platforms are holding users liable when AI tools generate offensive or false content on social platforms. Task: Analyze whether it is fair for users to bear responsibility for AI-generated content, especially when they may not fully understand or control how the tools work. Resource: Warning: If AI social media tools make a mistake, you're responsible Technology EL
	PAÍS English
	4. Medical Note-Taking



- Focus: Doctors are increasingly relying on AI tools to transcribe and summarize patient consultations, aiming to reduce administrative burden and burnout.
- Task: Examine who should be held accountable if an Al note-taking tool introduces an error that leads to a medical misdiagnosis or improper treatment.
- Resource:
 - o Doctors turn to AI for easier medical note-taking

Activities

1. Introduction (5 mins)

- Recap Lesson 1:
 - o Review key arguments from the debate on AI responsibility.
 - Discussion questions:
 - Who should be held accountable when Al systems fail programmers, users, or the Al itself?
 - How do different contexts (medical, legal, transportation, social media) affect judgments about responsibility?
 - Can an Al system ever be morally or legally responsible?
- Present the task's central question: Who should be held responsible when AI systems fail?

2. Bridge to Lesson 2

 Explain that students will now investigate real-world examples of AI systems and failures to explore how responsibility is constructed, challenged, and distributed among human and non-human agents.

3. Presentations (30 mins)

- Students present their case studies to the class.
- Students should take note of any useful points from other groups' presentations to use in the Kialo discussion.

4. Recording Findings in a Kialo Discussion (20 mins)

- Students use their case study and their peers' presentations to update and substantiate their arguments in their Kialo discussion from the previous session, focusing on:
 - Accountability in AI: Who is ultimately responsible when AI systems make mistakes?
 - Power and ethics: Who has the authority to define responsible AI use governments, companies, or individuals?
 - Human vs machine agency: Can an autonomous system be meaningfully "responsible"?
 - Bias and justification: Are AI errors more forgivable or less forgivable than human ones, and why?

Reflection Questions

Reflection (10 mins): Discuss the following reflection questions in open discussion or exit ticket format:

- If users are thoroughly informed about an AI tool's limitations but still rely on it, how does that affect their share of responsibility when errors occur?
- What biases are evident in these cases?
- How does evidence shape our understanding of accountability in AI systems?

Resources

Lesson Slides

Kialo discussion: If an Al system makes a mistake, who is responsible: the programmer, the user, or the Al itself?

Articles:

Elearna - Al Accountability: Who is Responsible When Al Fails?

How OpenAl's Sam Altman Is Thinking About AGI and Superintelligence in 2025 | TIME

Tesla's Autopilot and Full Self-Driving linked to hundreds of crashes, dozens of deaths - The Verge

Tesla CEO Elon Musk responds to Texas crash in investigation into two deaths



Doctors turn to AI for easier medical note-taking Warning: If AI social media tools make a mistake, you're responsible | Technology | EL PAÍS English Videos: Ethics of AI: Challenges and Governance Ethics in the Age of Al | Davos 2024 | World Economic Forum TOK Evidence: How does evidence shape our understanding of accountability in AI systems? Concepts Justification: How do the ethical justifications used by companies to implement AI systems hold up in the face of AI failures? Power: In what ways do powerful stakeholders (e.g., tech companies, governments) shape the narrative around AI failures? Critical Confronting Biases and Assumptions: Thinking Identifying Misinformation and Spin: Train students to detect biased or misleading Concepts reporting in articles and videos. Questioning Initial Beliefs: Encourage them to challenge their preconceptions (e.g., "I assumed Tesla's software was foolproof"), asking why they hold these views. **Exploring Contexts and Expert Opinions:** Stakeholder Analysis: Have groups consider how various stakeholders (engineers, regulators, consumers) might shift blame or responsibility. Evaluating Sources: Guide students to compare expert perspectives—journalists, tech CEOs, policy makers—to pinpoint credible vs. questionable evidence. Responsiveness and Flexibility of Thought: Adapting Arguments: Urge students to refine or modify their initial arguments about accountability as they uncover new data. Metacognitive Check-Ins: After reviewing sources, encourage them to note how their thinking has evolved and why. **Extrapolation and Reapplication of Principles:** Broadening the Lens: Challenge students to apply lessons from these AI cases (responsibility, bias) to other emerging technologies or controversies. Transferable Frameworks: Emphasize how the same investigative process (collecting evidence, identifying bias, drawing conclusions) can be repeated in various disciplines.

